

PONTIFÍCIA UNIVERSIDADE CATÓLICA DO RIO DE JANEIRO



**CENTRO DE TEOLOGIA E CIÊNCIAS
HUMANAS
DEPARTAMENTO DE FILOSOFIA**

FIL 1262	Tópicos Especiais Friedrich von Hayek: A Critical Introduction	
PERÍODO- 2020.1	CARGA HORÁRIA TOTAL: 60 HORAS	CRÉDITOS: 4
Horário: 3º/5º 13h-15h	PROF.: Rodrigo Guimarães Nunes	

OBJETIVOS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To acquaint students with Friedrich von Hayek’s intellectual and political project, and its implementation as public policy around the world; - To contextualise those ideas within 20th century and 21st century political and intellectual debates and traditions; - To provide insight into the functioning of neoliberalism not only as a system of ideas, but a “thought collective” and political force; - To critically examine the arguments of Hayek’s critics and the limitations of his project; - To revisit the “economic calculation debate” of the 1930s by means of contemporary responses to it.
EMENTA	<p>In terms of the sheer impact of his ideas on the world, Friedrich von Hayek is undoubtedly one of the most influential thinkers of the second half of the 20th century. This course aims to evaluate his thought and legacy by situating in the intellectual and political context of the 20th and 21st centuries, looking at his project in all its scope and ambition, while also keeping track of its blind spots, giving voice to his critics and examining the real-world effects of his ideas. It pays special to the political processes through which Hayek’s ideas were translated into policy; as well as the notion of spontaneous order and its intellectual origins, and the so-called "economic calculation debate" in which von Hayek first made his name in the 1930s and 1940s.</p>
PROGRAMA	<p>Hayek’s importance and legacy. From the “economic calculation debate” to <i>The Road to Serfdom</i>. Hayek’s intellectual project: self-organised order and institutions. <i>Law, Legislation and Liberty</i>. Organising in favour of self-</p>

	<p>organisation: the Mont Pelèrin Society and how neoliberalism became a political force. Genealogies of neoliberalism: Foucault, Mirowski, Slobodian. Critiques of neoliberalism. The world that Hayek made: Foucault, Brown, Gago. The “economic calculation debate” revisited.</p>
AVALIAÇÃO	<p>Categoria III</p> <p>Students will be able to choose from a range of different assessment methods.</p>
BIBLIOGRAFIA PRINCIPAL	<p>Wendy Brown, <i>In the Ruins of Neoliberalism. The Rise of Antidemocratic Politics in the West</i>.</p> <p>Michel Foucault, <i>The Birth of Biopolitics</i>.</p> <p>Friedrich Hayek, “The Principles of a Liberal Social Order”, <i>Studies in Philosophy, Politics and Economics</i>.</p> <p>Friedrich Hayek, “The Use of Knowledge in Society”, <i>The American Economic Review</i>, 34(4) (1945): 519-30.</p> <p>Friedrich Hayek, <i>Law, Legislation and Liberty</i>, vol. 1-3.</p> <p>Friedrich Hayek, <i>The Road to Serfdom</i>.</p> <p>Philip Mirowski e Dieter Plehwe (eds.), <i>The Road from Mont Pèlerin. The Making of the Neoliberal Thought Collective</i>.</p> <p>Quinn Slobodian, <i>Globalists. The End of Empire and the Birth of Neoliberalism</i>.</p>
BIBLIOGRAFIA COMPLEMENTAR	<p>Wendy Brown, <i>Undoing the Demos. Neoliberalism’s Stealth Revolution</i>.</p> <p>Naomi Klein, <i>The Shock Doctrine</i>.</p> <p>Verónica Gago, <i>Neoliberalism from Below. Popular Pragmatics and Baroque Economies</i>.</p> <p>Lisa Hill, “The Hidden Theology of Adam Smith”, <i>European Journal of the History of Economic Thought</i> 8(1):1-29.</p> <p>Phillip Mirowski and Edward Nik-Khah, <i>The Knowledge We Have Lost in Information. The History of Information in Modern Economics</i>.</p> <p>Evgeny Morozov, “Digital Socialism? The Calculation Debate in the Age of Big Data”, <i>New Left Review</i> 116/117 (2019): 33-67.</p> <p>Leigh Phillips and Michael Rozworski, <i>People’s Republic of Walmart</i>.</p> <p>Corey Robin, <i>The Conservative Mind. Conservatism from Edmund Burke to Donald Trump</i>.</p> <p>Jessica Whyte, “The Invisible Hand of Friedrich Hayek: Submission and Spontaneous Order”, <i>Political Theory</i>, 47(2), 156–184.</p>